<u>REMARKS</u>

In the final rejection, the only claim which was not rejected on the basis of prior art was claim 2, although claim 2 was rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting. The Terminal Disclaimer filed herewith should overcome the obviousness-type double patenting rejection of claim 2. Claim 1 has been amended to include the limitations of claim 2.

The Examiner is therefore requested to enter the amendment and to allow claim 1 as amended herewith.

No fees or extensions of time are believed to be due in connection with this Amendment; however, please consider this a request for any extension inadvertently omitted and charge any additional fees to Deposit Account No. 502093.

Respectfully submitted,

15

10

1

5

DENNIS L. THOMTE Registration No. 22,497

THOMTE, MAZOUR & NIEBERGALL

Attorneys of Record

20

2120 South 72nd Street - Suite 1111 Omaha, Nebraska 68124 (402) 392-2280

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that the original of this AMENDMENT AFTER FINAL REJECTION for RAYMON W. LUSH, Serial No. 10/614,947, was mailed by first class mail, postage prepaid, to Mail Stop AF—Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on this 27 day of September, 2004.

Mennis 2 Hm DENNIS L. THOMTE